Appl. No. 10/074,294
Amdt & Conditional RCE dated 04/07/2006
Reply to Final OA mailed 01/10/2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 1 and 11 based on informalities, rejected claims 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 19-22, and 27 under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being obvious over by Lu (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,346) in view of Torsti (U.S. Patent No. 5,724,397); claim 2 under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lu (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,346) in view of Torsti (U.S. Patent No. 5,724,397) and further in view of Serfaty (U.S. Patent No. 4,651,026); and claims 3 and 12 under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lu (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,346) in view of Torsti (U.S. Patent No. 5,724,397) and further in view of Roberts (U.S. Patent No. 4,575,683). In addition, the Examiner objected to claims 6-9, 15-18, and 23-26 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Claims 1-27 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1 and 11 are currently amended. These rejections have been traversed and, as such, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the allowability of claims 1-5, 10-14, 19-22, and 27.

2. Claims 1 and 11 were objected to based on informalities. Applicant appreciates the Examiner for pointing out these informalities and suggesting the amendments to correct them. Applicant has amended these claims as suggested and respectfully requests that these objections be withdrawn.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 19-22, and 27 have been rejected under 35 USC § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Lu (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,346) in view of Torsti (U.S. Patent No. 5,724,397).

In making this rejection, the Examiner states that Torsti teaches adjusting the sampling phase based on a difference between the system symbol t=rate and the transmit symbol rate. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Torsti recites as follows:

To synchronize the sampling frequency of the analog-to-digital converter 2, the first reference sample S(-1) obtained from the output of the delay means 13 and the second reference sample S(1) obtained from the output of the analog-to-digital converter 2 are applied to the calculator 8. The calculator 8 calculates:

a difference E=S(-1)-S(1) of the samples S(-1) and S(1) used for synchronization,

the difference of the difference E and a target value K, after which the difference is divided by D(0) or the sign of D(0) to determine synchronization information SI.

The single synchronization information SI obtained from the output of the calculator 8 is applied to a low-pass filter 10 to remove the

Appl. No. 10/074,294 Amdt & Conditional RCE dated 04/07/2006 Reply to Final OA mailed 01/10/2006

effect of momentary disturbances. The low-pass filter 10 is preferably a digital IIR filter.

The single synchronization information SI also contains symbol interaction. То remove the interaction, the synchronization information SI is applied from the low-pass filter 10 to the PI controller 11, which is used for (integrating) the synchronization information obtained from several different symbols.

In applying the method of the invention, the target value K is adjusted, preferably in such a manner that as the synchronization progresses, the value of K is changed gradually towards the optimum target value, which is preferably zero. thus Ιt is possible to carry out the synchronization in several stages, roughly at first, after which the synchronization becomes more and more accurate as the target value K changes. (See Torsti, Col. 4, lines 4-33)

Torsti calculates a difference between samples S(-1) and S(1) and a threshold K and divides by D(0) (or the sign of D(0). This value is low-pass filtered and applied to a PI controller that provides a proportional component and a component that integrates over multiple such differences that is used to adjust the clock 3.

Torsti does not disclose <u>updating the determined</u> sampling point based on a difference between the system

Appl. No. 10/074,294
Amdt & Conditional RCE dated 04/07/2006
Reply to Final OA mailed 01/10/2006

symbol rate and the transmit symbol rate, as recited in claims 1, 11 and 21. As discussed above, for a current sample S(0), Torsti calculates a difference between the last sample, S(-1), and the next sample S(1), after subtracting a decreasing threshold K. For these reasons, claims 1, 11 and 21 and claims 2-10, 12-20 and 22-27 that depend therefrom are believed to be patentably distinct from the prior art.

4. Claim 2 has been rejected based on the combination of Lu, Torsti and Serfaty (U.S. Patent No. 4,651,026).

Claim 2 is dependent upon claim 1, which has been shown to overcome its corresponding rejection. Since Lu and Torsti fails to render claim 1 obvious, combining the teachings of Lu and Torsti with Serfaty fails to render claim 2 obvious.

5. Claims 3 and 12 have been rejected under 35 USC \S 103 (a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Lu, Torsti and Roberts.

Claim 3 is dependent upon claim 1, which has been shown to overcome its corresponding rejection, and claim 12 is dependent upon claim 11, which has been shown to overcome its corresponding rejection. Since Lu and Torsti fails to render claim 1 or 11 obvious, combining the teachings of Lu and Torsti with Roberts fails to render claim 3 or 12 obvious.

For the foregoing reasons, the applicant believes that claims 1-27 are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be passed to allowance.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone or facsimile if the Examiner believes that such a communication would advance the prosecution of the present invention.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /Bruce E. Stuckman/Reg. No. 36,693

Bruce E. Stuckman

Garlick Harrison & Markison, LLP

Phone: (512) 241-8444
Fax No.: (512) 241-8445

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on the date below:

April 7, 2006 Date

Sherry Wolk McWhinnie